A Novel Approach to Measuring Glacier Motion Remotely using Aerial LiDAR

JENNIFER TELLING, ANDREW FOUNTAIN, CRAIG GLENNIE, MACIEK OBRYK

AGU FALL MEETING, DECEMBER 14, 2016

C34C-07



Study Area – Taylor Valley, Antarctica

Located in the Taylor Dry Valleys, which are a polar desert (Fountain et al., 2014; Fountain et al., 2016)

Airborne laser scanning (ALS) data collected by NASA in 2001 and by NCALM in 2014

- NASA Resolution 1 point/m²
- NCALM Resolution 5 10 point/m²





Measurement Methods

Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV)

- ALS data converted to images, colored by elevation in greyscale, for full glaciers and subset regions
- PIVLab, an open source Matlab application, used for analysis (Thielicke and Stamhuis, 2014 a and b)
- Example of raw PIV results for Taylor Glacier shown to the right

Manual measurements/Hand Tracking

- Used to verify PIV results
- Feature mapping with ALS point cloud
- Example from Canada Glacier below



500 m



Raw PIV results have been averaged over 100 m x 100 m cells

Smaller cell sizes produce higher resolution velocity fields but also less coherent flow and have greater uncertainty





100 m x 100 m cells

	PIV	Hand Tracking
Average Velocity	1.2 ± 0.5 m/y	1.6 ± 0.5 m/y
Center Velocity	1.3 ± 0.5 m/y	1.8 ± 0.6 m/y
Edge Velocity	0.8 ± 0.5 m/y	1.5 ± 0.3 m/y

Taylor Glacier





Taylor Glacier



Discussion

Surface roughness is a key factor in being able to apply PIV to glaciers

- Example to the right
- Rougher surface features are relatively easily mapped but the smoother areas cannot yet be mapped with confidence
- This may be a result of data point density, since smaller surface features on smoother regions may not be well defined

PIV velocities tend to be lower than velocities collected by both in situ and hand tracking measurements

- Fountain et al. (2006) found velocities ranging from
 0.3 9 m/y throughout Taylor Valley
- Smaller features may be identified more readily with PIV than could easily be measured manually
- PIV algorithm may map some small scale features that are not consistent between 2001 and 2014



Conclusions

PIV has been shown to map glacier surface velocities reasonably clearly

Attention in the use of PIV on glaciers should be paid to surface roughness

- The direction of surface features are also likely to play a key role
- Taylor Glacier terminus has far more along glacier ridges and valleys (Johnston et al., 2005) than Canada glacier, where ridge and valley features are primarily cross glacier in nature

Continuing research will apply this technique to other glacier LiDAR data to better understand the effect of surface features and data resolution on PIV

Image of Taylor Glacier terminus shown at right



References

- •Fountain, A.G., Nylen, T.H., MacClune, K.L., and Dana, G.L. (2006). Glacier mass balances (1993-2001), Taylor Valley, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 52,178,451-462.
- •Fountain, A.G., Levy, J.S., Gooseff, M.N., and D. Van Horn (2014). The McMurdo Dry Valleys: A landscape on the threshold of change. Geomorphology, 225, 25-35, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.044.
- •Fountain, A.G., Basagic, H.J., and Niebuhr, S. (2016). Glaciers in equilibrium, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 1-14, doi: 10.1017/jog.2016.86.
- •Johnston, R.R., Fountain, A.G., and Nylen, T.H. (2005). The origin of channels on lower Taylor Glacier, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica, and their implication for water runoff. Annals of Glaciology, 40.
- •Robinson, P.H. (1984). Ice dynamics and thermal regime of Taylor Glacier, South Victoria Land, Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 30, 105, 153-160.
- •Thielicke, W. and Stamhuis, E.J. (2014a): PIVIab Towards User-friendly, Affordable and Accurate Digital Particle Image Velocimetry in MATLAB. *Journal of Open Research Software* 2(1):e30, DOI: 10.5334/jors.bl.
- •Thielicke, W. and Stamhuis, E. J. (2014b): PIVlab Time-Resolved Digital Particle Image Velocimetry Tool for MATLAB (version: X.XX *modify this*). DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.1092508.

Questions?

Photo Credit: Darren Hauser, NCALM

In Situ Studies

Johnston et al. (2005)

- 7 m/y for Taylor Glacier
- 1999 2001

Robinson (1984)

- 5-15 m/y
- 1975 1978

Kavanaugh et al. (2009)

- 5-15 m/y
- 2002 2004



Figure from Kavanaugh et al. (2009)

Taylor Glacier

Roughness(20)

12.000000 -

